

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,095	09/03/2003	Noel Lee	P1544	6888	
7590 11/03/2004			EXAMINER		
LaRiviere, Grubman & Payne, LLP			SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO		
P.O. Box 3140 Monterey, CA 93942			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Monicity, CA 33742			2837		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/655,095	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edgardo San Martin	2837	كسهم			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat		merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	ч				
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	re: a) accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abeyar on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	tage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/31/04;9/3/03.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/655,095 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because:
 - Items 71 and 71 are not shown in the drawings as described in the specification on Page 6, Lines 2+;
 - Figures 6 and 7 do not specify which view-cut correspond to either view A-A and B-B.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - On Page 7, Line 5 should read - 72 - instead of "71".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-7, 11 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson (US 4,450,322).

With respect to claims 1 and 11, Wilson teaches a surround sound system, comprising a surround sound tower (Fig.1, Item 21) being vertically disposed; a base plate (Fig.1, Item 14) being horizontally disposed; and means for positioning the surround sound tower on the base plate (Fig.1, Item 23), the surround sound tower being mounted on, and normal to, the positioning means (Fig.1; Col.4, Line 36 – Col.5, Line 6).

With respect to claims 4, 5, 14 and 15, Wilson teaches wherein the surround sound tower comprises at least one feature selected from a group consisting essentially of a center channel speaker and a tweeter module (Fig.1, Item 17), and wherein the tweeter module comprises a tweeter (Col.4, Lines 9 – 11).

With respect to claims 6 and 16, Wilson teaches wherein the tweeter module further comprises a detachable permeable tweeter housing disposed around the tweeter (Fig.1, Item 18).

With respect to claims 7 and 17, Wilson inherently teaches further comprising a binding element disposed at a rear surface of the tower for both electronically and mechanically binding the tower to the positioning means. The Examiner considers that in order for the plurality of speaker units to work in a tower configuration, there is necessary the use of a device that electronically and mechanically bind the tower to the positioning means.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 10, 12, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson (US 4,450,322) in view of Fincham (US 4,139,734).

With respect to claims 2, 10, 12 and 20, Wilson teaches the limitations discussed in a previous rejection, in addition, Wilson teaches wherein the positioning means comprises means for indicating a movement of the surround sound tower relative to the base plate (Fig.6, Item 49); but fail to disclose wherein the positioning means move in an angular fashion.

Page 5

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the Wilson positioning means to indicate an angular rotation as described by Fincham because the indicator would provide an accurate measurement of the speaker rotation needed to adjust the speaker to an optimum listening position, without the employment of extra equipment, based on the dimension and properties of the room.

With respect to claims 3, 10, 13 and 20, Wilson teaches the indicating means having visible markings (Fig.6, Item 49); in addition, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art of machines elements to employ ball bearings to facilitate a rotational movement.

5. Claims 8, 9, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson (US 4,450,322) in view of Shirasaki (JP 61020489).

Wilson teaches the limitations discussed in a previous rejection, but fail to disclose further comprising means for indicating a sonic intensity.

Nevertheless, Shirasaki teaches a speaker system comprising means for indicating a sonic intensity; wherein the sonic intensity indicating means comprises a light emitting device (Fig.3, Item 6; Abstract).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Shirasaki sonic intensity indicator with the Wilson design because the sonic intensity indicator would provide a visible feedback of the Art Unit: 2837

speaker system sonic performance that could be useful to visually identify when the speaker system is producing a high or low sonic output; or to be use as a light emitting device when some illumination is desired.

Conclusion

6. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,095 Page 7

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edgardo San Martín

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2837

Class 181

October 31, 2004